UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,492	10/15/2004	Matthias Muth	DE02 0097 US	4584	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 02/12/200	4 Matthias Muth DE02 0097 US 02/12/2008 EX PERTY DEPARTMENT ART UNIT 2836	EXAM	AMINER	
	ECTUAL PROPERTY	DESCHERE, ANDREW M			
1109 MCKAY	10/15/2004 Matthias Muth 7590 02/12/2008 LECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT Y DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA 95131		2836			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
			02	MUTH, MATTHIAS			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
			M. DESCHERE	2836			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 December 2	007				
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 December 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for al	=		osecution as to the	e merits is		
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•				
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Objected to by the	Examiner.			
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection t	-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		-		FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		-	, ,		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have hee	n received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			ion No			
					l Stage		
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 6	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
233 and attached actained critical action of the continue copies not received.							
A44- 1	w.)						
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intonious Commercia	(PTO 412)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application			
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tamai (United States Patent 7,116,009).

Tamai discloses a circuit for supplying DC output voltages in a vehicle (Figure 1).

Multiple 5v outputs are generated from series regulators 14. A 42v input voltage is applied to a converter 9, which outputs 7v to the series regulators 14. Thus, the outputs of the series regulators 14 and the converter 9 are lower than that of the input to the converter 9. Converter 9 is switched off by a controller 12 (Figure 2) in response to an idle state of the vehicle (the ignition is off and normal supply is not required).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamai in view of Hinman (US 2002/0001213).

With regard to claims 3 and 5, Tamai discloses a circuit for supplying DC output voltages in a vehicle, using a converter 9 in an electrical connection box 7 and series regulators 14 in electronic control units 14. However, there is no suggestion to combine circuit components on an integrated circuit. Hinman discloses an integrated circuit switching power converter, wherein a single IC package contains all the components of a main and auxiliary power supply (Figure 1). The package includes control circuitry and level shifters, as well as multiple inputs and outputs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enclose the components of electronic control units of Tamai in an integrated circuit. This would make the electronic control units simple and compact in construction, efficient to operate, and economical to produce (Hinman, paragraphs 7 and 19).

With regard to claim 4, it would have further been obvious to include the electrical connection box of Tamai on the integrated circuit for the electronic control units to provide further compact construction and efficiency in operation.

With regard to claim 6, the converter 9 may be exchanged with a converter 21 (Figure 5), such that 12v is output to the series regulators instead of 7v.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with regard to the rejection under 35 USC 102(a) are persuasive. The previously cited Japanese Patent Document (JP2003048497) does not qualify for rejections under 35 USC 102(a). However, the previously cited US Patent (US 7,116,009) qualifies for

Art Unit: 2836

rejections under 35 USC 102(e). The applicant has not perfected the claimed foreign priority of DE10217235.8, and therefore does not gain the effective filing date of April 18, 2002.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Deschere whose telephone number is (571) 272-8391. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,492 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Sherry/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

/A. M. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 2836 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination MUTH, MATTHIAS		
10/511,492			
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANDREW M. DESCHERE	2836		